## Brandon Hoda

Test two extra credit Signals and Systems Dr Joseph Picone March 26, 2009

**Analytical Solution:** 

5.45	y[n+1] + 0.9y[n] = 1.9×[n+1]
	h[n] = 1.9(9)" U[n] so H(w) = 1.9 1+.9e=0 > 1.9e=0 + 0.9
	$\chi(\omega) = a\pi s(\omega) + i\pi [s(\omega + \Xi) - S(\omega - \Xi)] +$
	$S\pi ES(\omega + \Xi) - S(\omega - \Xi)$
	$y(\omega) = H(\omega) x(\omega)$
	ytn3 = 14(0)+ 4(年) / 5:(年1+ <h(年))+ 5:(年1+<h王)<="" h(年)="" td=""></h(年))+>
ĺ	$H(0) = \frac{117}{1+0.9} = 1  H(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{1.9}{e^{3\frac{\pi}{4}} + 0.9} \qquad H(\frac{\pi}{6}) = \frac{1.9}{e^{3\frac{\pi}{4}} + 0.9} = 1.47 \le 41$
	H(====================================
	1.34+11.34 = 1.0796 21.25 - 21.25 = .37 md
	H(==) = 1.9 (cos = + i cin = )= 1.41 2 41.987° -> . 133 rsd
	45-3=1+1.08 sin (=1+.37 rad)+1.41 sin (=1+ < H(=))

The solution was not so bad once I got it setup and realized I had to find  $H(\pi/4)$  and  $H(\pi/2)$ . By using equation (5.63)

$$Y(\Omega) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A\pi \Big[ H\big(-\Omega_0 + 2\pi k\big) e^{-j\theta} \delta\big(\Omega + \Omega_0 - 2\pi k\big) + H\big(\Omega_0 + 2\pi k\big) e^{j\theta} \delta\big(\Omega - \Omega_0 - 2\pi k\big) \Big]$$

to get a start. In addition, since h[n] is real valued,  $|H(-\Omega)|=|H(\Omega)|$  and  $\langle H(-\Omega) = -\langle H(\Omega) \rangle$ , and thus the polar forms of  $H(-\Omega_0)$  and  $H(\Omega_0)$  are given by

$$H(-\Omega_0) = |H(\Omega_0)| e^{-j \angle H(\Omega_0)} and H(\Omega_0) = |H(\Omega_0)| e^{j \angle H(\Omega_0)}$$

Then equation (5.63) above, after some further deduction becomes  $y[n] = A | H(\Omega_0) | \cos(\Omega_0 n + \theta + \angle H(\Omega_0)), n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2...$ 

I know the equation uses cos, however I could only get the solution to come out right using sin.

## Matlab Code:

```
>> n=0:1:30;
>> x=1+sin((pi/4)*n)+sin((pi/2)*n);
>> for k=1:16;
>> for k=1:16;
h(k)=1.9*(-.9)^{(k-1)};
end;
>> z=conv(x,h);
>> stem(z)
>> y=1+1.08*sin((pi/4)*n+.37)+1.41*sin((pi/2)*n+.73);
>> stem(y)
>> stem(y)
>> hold
Current plot held
>> stem(z)
>> stem(z)
>> stem(y)
```











**Figure 3:** plot of the convolution of stem(y) & stem(z)

I realize that the convolution plot is off by a fraction of a decimal but this was as close as I was able to get it.